



SEVENTH EDITION

The Legal Environment of Business

A CRITICAL THINKING APPROACH

KUBASEK
BRENNAN
BROWNE

THE **LEGAL**
ENVIRONMENT
OF **BUSINESS**

This page intentionally left blank

THE LEGAL ENVIRONMENT OF BUSINESS

A Critical Thinking Approach

SEVENTH EDITION

NANCY K.
KUBASEK

BARTLEY A.
BRENNAN

M. NEIL
BROWNE

PEARSON

Boston Columbus Indianapolis New York San Francisco Upper Saddle River
Amsterdam Cape Town Dubai London Madrid Milan Munich Paris Montreal Toronto
Delhi Mexico City São Paulo Sydney Hong Kong Seoul Singapore Taipei Tokyo

Editor in Chief: Stephanie Wall
Executive Editor: Bob Horan
Program Management Team Lead: Ashley Santora
Project Manager: Karen Kirincich
Editorial Assistant: Kaylee Rotella
Executive Marketing Manager: Anne K. Fahlgren
Project Management Team Lead: Judy Leale
Production Project Manager: Ilene Kahn
Procurement Specialist: Nancy Maneri-Miller
Art Director: Jayne Conte
Text Designer: S4Carlisle Publishing Services
Cover Designer: Suzanne Behnke
Cover Photo/Illustration/Art: Shutterstock/Praisaeng
Full-Service Project Management: S4Carlisle Publishing Services
Composition: S4Carlisle Publishing Services
Printer/Binder: R. R. Donnelley/Roanoke
Cover Printer: Lehigh-Phoenix Color/Hagarstown
Text Font: ITC Garamond 10.5/12

Credits and acknowledgments borrowed from other sources and reproduced, with permission, in this textbook appear on the appropriate page within text.

Copyright © 2015, 2012, 2009 by Pearson Education, Inc., One Lake Street, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458. All rights reserved. Manufactured in the United States of America. This publication is protected by Copyright, and permission should be obtained from the publisher prior to any prohibited reproduction, storage in a retrieval system, or transmission in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or likewise. To obtain permission(s) to use material from this work, please submit a written request to Pearson Education, Inc., Permissions Department, One Lake Street, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, or you may fax your request to 201-236-3290.

Many of the designations by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and the publisher was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial caps or all caps.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kubasek, Nancy.

The legal environment of business : a critical thinking approach / Nancy K. Kubasek,
Bartley A. Brennan, M. Neil Browne. — Seventh edition.

pages cm

Includes index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-13-354642-2

ISBN-10: 0-13-354642-X

1. Industrial laws and legislation—United States. 2. Commercial law—United States.
3. Trade regulation—United States. I. Brennan, Bartley A.
II. Browne, M. Neil, III. Title.

KF1600.K83 2013

346.7307—dc23

2013029352

PEARSON

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3
ISBN 10: 0-13-354642-X
ISBN 13: 978-0-13-354642-2

*To the numerous students who appreciate the importance of
developing their critical thinking skills for their personal growth
and development.*

NANCY K. KUBASEK AND M. NEIL BROWNE

To Sandra for everything.

BARTLEY A. BRENNAN

This page intentionally left blank

Brief Contents

PART ONE

Introduction to the Law and the Legal Environment of Business 1

- 1 Critical Thinking and Legal Reasoning 2
- 2 Introduction to Law and the Legal Environment of Business 15
- 3 The American Legal System 32
- 4 Alternative Tools of Dispute Resolution 70
- 5 Constitutional Principles 98
- 6 White-Collar Crime and the Business Community 135
- 7 Ethics, Social Responsibility, and the Business Manager 177
- 8 The International Legal Environment of Business 204

PART TWO

Private Law and the Legal Environment of Business 237

- 9 The Law of Contracts and Sales—I 238
- 10 The Law of Contracts and Sales—II 266
- 11 The Law of Torts 285
- 12 Product and Service Liability Law 319
- 13 Law of Property: Real and Personal 349
- 14 Intellectual Property 373
- 15 Agency Law 395
- 16 Law and Business Associations—I 419
- 17 Law and Business Associations—II 438

PART THREE

Public Law and the Legal Environment of Business 463

- 18 The Law of Administrative Agencies 464
- 19 The Employment Relationship 485
- 20 Laws Governing Labor–Management Relations 517
- 21 Employment Discrimination 547
- 22 Environmental Law 593
- 23 Rules Governing the Issuance and Trading of Securities 622
- 24 Antitrust Laws 672
- 25 Laws of Debtor–Creditor Relations and Consumer Protection 712

APPENDIX A The Constitution of the United States 756

APPENDIX B Uniform Commercial Code (2000 Official Text), Article 2 762

GLOSSARY 777

INDEX 791

This page intentionally left blank

Contents

PREFACE xxix

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS xxxiii

ABOUT THE AUTHORS xxxv

PART ONE

Introduction to the Law and the Legal Environment of Business 1

- 1 Critical Thinking and Legal Reasoning 2
 - The Importance of Critical Thinking 2
 - A Critical Thinking Model 3
 - United States of America v. Martha Stewart and Peter Bacanovic* 4
 - The Critical Thinking Steps 5
 - Facts* 5
 - Issue* 6
 - Reasons and Conclusion* 6
 - Rules of Law* 7
 - Ambiguity* 7
 - Ethical Norms* 7
 - Analogies* 8
 - Missing Information* 9
 - Using Critical Thinking to Make Legal Reasoning Come Alive 9
 - Legal Reasoning* 10
 - Applying the Critical Thinking Approach 14
 - Assignment on the Internet 14
 - On the Internet 14
 - For Future Reading 14
- 2 Introduction to Law and the Legal Environment of Business 15
 - Definition of the Legal Environment of Business 16
 - Definition of Law and Jurisprudence 17
 - Natural Law School* 18
 - Positivist School* 19
 - Sociological School* 19
 - American Realist School* 20
 - Critical Legal Studies School* 20
 - Feminist School* 20
 - Law and Economics School* 21
 - Sources of Law 21
 - The Legislature as a Source of Statutory Law* 22
 - The Judicial Branch as a Source of Case Law* 23

- The Executive Branch as a Source of Law* 24
- Administrative Agencies as a Source of Law* 25
- Classifications of Law 25
 - Criminal Law and Civil Law* 25
 - Public and Private Law* 26
 - Substantive and Procedural Law* 27
 - Cyberlaw* 27
- Global Dimensions of the Legal Environment of Business 27
- Summary 28
- Review Questions 28
- Review Problems 28
- Case Problems 29
- Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues 30
- Assignment on the Internet 31
- On the Internet 31
- For Future Reading 31
- 3** The American Legal System 32
 - Jurisdiction 32
 - Original Versus Appellate Jurisdiction* 32
 - Jurisdiction Over Persons and Property* 33
 - World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson, District Judge of Cook County* 34
 - Subject Matter Jurisdiction* 37
 - Hertz Corporation v. Friend* 38
 - Venue 40
 - The Structure of the Court System 42
 - The Federal Court System* 42
 - State Court Systems* 45
 - The Actors in the Legal System and their Relationship to the Business Community 45
 - The Attorney* 45
 - The Jury* 48
 - The Adversary Process 49
 - Criticisms of the Adversary System* 49
 - Steps in Civil Litigation and the Role of Businesspersons 50
 - The Pretrial Stage* 50
 - The Trial* 55
 - J.E.B. v. Alabama, ex rel. T.B.* 56
 - Appellate Procedure* 60
 - Class Actions* 62
 - Global Dimensions of the American Legal System 63
 - Summary 65
 - Review Questions 65
 - Review Problems 65
 - Case Problems 66
 - Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues 68
 - Assignment on the Internet 68
 - On the Internet 69
 - For Future Reading 69

4	Alternative Tools of Dispute Resolution	70
	Negotiation and Settlement	72
	Mediation	72
	<i>Selection of a Mediator</i>	72
	<i>Common Uses of Mediation</i>	73
	<i>Advantages of Mediation</i>	73
	<i>Criticisms of Mediation</i>	74
	Arbitration	74
	<i>Hall Street Associates, L.L.C. v. Mattel, Inc.</i>	76
	<i>Methods of Securing Arbitration</i>	77
	<i>Ignazio v. Clear Channel Broadcasting, Inc. et al.</i>	79
	<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion et ux</i>	83
	<i>Selection of an Arbitrator</i>	84
	<i>Common Uses of Arbitration</i>	85
	<i>Problems with Arbitration</i>	86
	Minitrials	87
	Early Neutral Case Evaluation	88
	Private Trials	88
	Summary Jury Trials	89
	Court-Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution	89
	<i>Use of Court-Annexed ADR in the State and Federal Systems</i>	89
	<i>Differences Between Court-Annexed and Voluntary ADR</i>	90
	The Future of Alternative Dispute Resolution	91
	Global Dimensions of Alternative Dispute Resolution	91
	<i>Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth</i>	92
	Summary	93
	Review Questions	94
	Review Problems	94
	Case Problems	95
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	96
	Assignment on the Internet	97
	On the Internet	97
	For Future Reading	97
5	Constitutional Principles	98
	The Constitution	98
	Federalism	98
	<i>Supremacy Clause</i>	99
	<i>Federal Preemption</i>	99
	Separation of Powers	100
	<i>William Jefferson Clinton v. Paula Corbin Jones</i>	101
	The Impact of the Commerce Clause on Business	103
	<i>The Commerce Clause as a Source of Federal Authority</i>	103
	<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i>	105
	<i>The Commerce Clause as a Restriction on State Authority</i>	107
	<i>Nat'l Ass'n of Optometrists & Opticians v. Brown</i>	109
	The Taxing and Spending Powers of the Federal Government	110
	<i>Taxation of the Internet?</i>	112

The Impact of the Amendments on Business	113
<i>The First Amendment</i>	113
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission of New York</i>	115
<i>The Fourth Amendment</i>	119
<i>Florida v. Jardines</i>	121
<i>The Fifth Amendment</i>	123
<i>D.A.B.E., Inc. v. City of Toledo</i>	127
<i>The Fourteenth Amendment</i>	129
Summary	130
Review Questions	130
Review Problems	130
Case Problems	131
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	133
Assignment on the Internet	133
On the Internet	134
For Future Reading	134
6 White-Collar Crime and the Business Community	135
Crime and Criminal Procedure	138
<i>Crime</i>	138
<i>Criminal Procedure</i>	139
Distinguishing Features of White-Collar Crime	143
<i>The Corporation as a Criminal</i>	144
<i>Arguments in Support of Corporate Liability</i>	145
<i>Arguments in Opposition to Corporate Liability</i>	146
<i>Imposition of Liability on Corporate Executives</i>	146
<i>United States v. Park</i>	147
<i>Imposition of Liability on Lower-Level Corporate Criminals</i>	149
<i>Factors Encouraging the Commission of White-Collar Crime</i>	150
<i>Sentencing of White-Collar Criminals</i>	151
Common White-Collar Crimes	153
<i>Bribery</i>	153
<i>Violations of Federal Regulations</i>	154
<i>Criminal Fraud</i>	155
<i>United States v. Gray</i>	155
<i>Larceny</i>	157
<i>Embezzlement</i>	158
<i>Computer Crimes</i>	158
Prevention of White-Collar Crime	161
Federal Laws Used in the Fight Against White-Collar Crime	163
<i>The Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO)</i>	163
<i>Boyle v. U.S. United States Supreme Court</i>	164
<i>False Claims Act</i>	165
<i>Sarbanes-Oxley Act</i>	167
<i>Whistleblower Protection Act</i>	168
State Laws Used in the Fight Against White-Collar Crime	169
Global Dimensions of White-Collar Crime	169
Summary	171

Review Questions	171
Review Problems	171
Case Problems	172
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	174
Assignment on the Internet	175
On the Internet	176
For Future Reading	176

7 Ethics, Social Responsibility, and the Business Manager 177

Definition of Business Ethics and Social Responsibility	179
<i>Business Ethics</i>	179
<i>The Social Responsibility of Business</i>	179
<i>In re Exxon Valdez</i>	180
Theories of Ethical Thought	181
<i>Consequential Theories</i>	181
<i>Deontological Theories</i>	183
<i>Humanist Theories</i>	183
Codes of Ethics	184
<i>Individual Codes of Ethics</i>	184
<i>Corporate Codes of Ethics</i>	185
<i>Industry Codes of Ethics</i>	186
<i>Professional Codes of Ethics</i>	187
Schools of Social Responsibility	189
<i>Bates v. State Bar of Arizona</i>	189
<i>Profit-Oriented School</i>	192
<i>Managerial School</i>	194
<i>Institutional School</i>	195
<i>Cooper Industries v. Leatherman Tool Group, Inc.</i>	195
<i>Professional Obligation School</i>	196
<i>Regulation School</i>	196
<i>Fog Cutter Capital Group v. Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	197
Global Dimensions of Ethics and Social Responsibility	198
<i>Code of Conduct for Transnational Corporations</i>	198
Summary	198
Review Questions	199
Review Problems	199
Case Problems	200
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	201
Assignment on the Internet	202
On the Internet	203
For Future Reading	203

8 The International Legal Environment of Business 204

Dimensions of the International Environment of Business	205
<i>Political Dimensions</i>	205
<i>Economic Dimensions</i>	206
<i>Cultural Dimensions</i>	207
<i>Corruption and Trade</i>	207

<i>United States v. Kay</i>	208
<i>Legal Dimensions</i>	210
<i>Selected National Legal Systems</i>	212
<i>Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council</i>	213
Methods of Engaging in International Business	214
<i>Trade</i>	214
<i>International Licensing and Franchising</i>	215
<i>Foreign Direct Investment</i>	216
<i>In re Union Carbide Corp. Gas Plant Disaster v. Union Carbide Corp.</i>	217
Risks of Engaging in International Business	219
<i>Expropriation of Private Property</i>	219
<i>Sovereign Immunity Doctrine</i>	220
<i>Keller v. Central Bank of Nigeria</i>	220
Act-of-State Doctrine	221
<i>Republic of the Philippines v. Ferdinand E. Marcos</i>	221
<i>Export and Import Controls</i>	222
Legal and Economic Integration as a Means of Encouraging International Business Activity	223
<i>The World Trade Organization</i>	225
<i>The European Union</i>	226
<i>North American Free Trade Agreement</i>	230
Global Dispute Resolution	231
<i>Arbitration</i>	232
<i>Litigation</i>	232
<i>Globalization: Hurts or Helps</i>	232
Summary	233
Review Questions	234
Review Problems	234
Case Problems	235
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	235
Assignment on the Internet	236
On the Internet	236
For Future Reading	236

PART TWO

Private Law and the Legal Environment of Business 237

9 The Law of Contracts and Sales—I	238
Definition, Sources, and Classifications of Contract Law	239
<i>Definition</i>	239
<i>Sources of Contract Law</i>	239
<i>Classifications of Contracts</i>	240
<i>Fox v. Mountain West Electric</i>	241
<i>Audito v. City of Providence</i>	242
Elements of a Legal Contract	244
<i>Legal Offer</i>	244
<i>Beer v. Chase</i>	245
<i>Legal Acceptance</i>	247

<i>The Private Movie Company, Inc. v. Pamela Lee Anderson et al.</i>	247
<i>Consideration</i>	250
<i>Genuine Assent</i>	251
<i>Stambousky v. Ackley and Ellis Realty</i>	252
<i>Competent Parties</i>	254
<i>Legal Object</i>	255
<i>Moore v. Midwest Distribution, Inc.</i>	256
Contracts That Must Be in Writing	257
<i>Contracts for the Sale of an Interest in Land</i>	257
<i>Contracts to Pay the Debts of Another</i>	258
<i>Contracts not Performable in One Year</i>	258
<i>Sale of Goods of \$500 or More</i>	258
<i>Iacono v. Lyons</i>	258
<i>Nonbusiness Contracts</i>	259
Parol Evidence Rule	259
Third-Party Beneficiary Contracts and Assignment of Rights	260
<i>Types of Third-Party Beneficiary Contracts</i>	260
<i>Assignment of Rights</i>	261
Summary	261
Review Questions	262
Review Problems	262
Case Problems	263
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	264
Assignment on the Internet	264
On the Internet	265
For Future Reading	265
10 The Law of Contracts and Sales—II	266
Methods of Discharging a Contract	267
<i>Discharge by Performance</i>	267
<i>Plante v. Jacobs</i>	267
<i>Discharge by Mutual Agreement</i>	269
<i>Discharge by Conditions Precedent and Subsequent</i>	270
<i>Architectural Systems, Inc. v. Gilbane Building Co.</i>	270
<i>Discharge by Impossibility of Performance</i>	271
<i>Discharge by Commercial Impracticability</i>	271
<i>Contracts with the Government and the Sovereign Acts Doctrine</i>	271
<i>Facto v. Pantagis</i>	272
Remedies for a Breach of Contract	273
<i>Monetary Damages (“Legal” Remedies)</i>	273
<i>Arrowhead School District No. 75, Park County, Montana v. James A. Klyap, Jr.</i>	274
<i>Equitable Remedies</i>	276
<i>Remedies for Breach of a Sales Contract (Goods)</i>	276
<i>Fitl v. Strek</i>	277
E-Contracts	278
<i>E-Signatures</i>	278
<i>The Uniform Computer Information Transaction Act</i>	279
Global Dimensions of Contract and Sales Law	280

Summary	281
Review Questions	281
Review Problems	281
Case Problems	282
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	283
Assignment on the Internet	284
On the Internet	284
For Future Reading	284

11	The Law of Torts	285
	The Goals of Tort Law	285
	Damages Available in Tort Cases	286
	<i>Compensatory Damages</i>	286
	<i>Nominal Damages</i>	287
	<i>Punitive Damages</i>	287
	<i>Young v. Becker & Poliakoff</i>	289
	Classifications of Torts	293
	Intentional Torts	293
	<i>Intentional Torts Against Persons</i>	293
	<i>Nemet Chevrolet, Ltd. v. Consumeraffairs.com, Inc.</i>	296
	<i>Ellen Johnston v. One America Productions, Inc.</i>	301
	<i>Intentional Torts Against Property</i>	305
	<i>Intentional Torts Against Economic Interests</i>	305
	Negligent Torts	307
	<i>Elements of Negligence</i>	307
	<i>Defenses to Negligence</i>	309
	<i>Venkateswarlu Thota, and North Texas Cardiology Center, v. Margaret Young</i>	311
	Strict Liability Torts	313
	Global Dimensions of Tort Law	313
	Summary	314
	Review Questions	314
	Review Problems	315
	Case Problems	315
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	317
	Assignment on the Internet	318
	On the Internet	318
	For Future Reading	318

12	Product and Service Liability Law	319
	Theories of Recovery in Product Liability Cases	320
	<i>Negligence</i>	320
	<i>Donna S. Riegel v. Medtronic, Inc.</i>	327
	<i>Strict Liability in Contract for Breach of Warranty</i>	329
	<i>Williams v. Braum Ice Cream Store, Inc.</i>	331
	<i>Strict Liability in Tort</i>	334
	<i>Welge v. Planters Lifesavers Co.</i>	335

<i>Beard v. Johnson & Johnson, Inc.</i>	337
<i>Liability to Bystanders</i>	340
Market Share Liability	340
Service Liability	341
<i>Accountants' Liability</i>	342
Global Dimensions of Product Liability Law	343
Summary	344
Review Questions	345
Review Problems	345
Case Problems	345
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	347
Assignment on the Internet	348
On the Internet	348
For Future Reading	348

13	Law of Property: Real and Personal	349
	Real Property	350
	<i>Definition of Real Property</i>	351
	<i>Extent of Ownership</i>	351
	Interests in Real Property	351
	<i>Fee Simple Absolute</i>	351
	<i>Conditional Estate</i>	352
	<i>Life Estate</i>	352
	<i>Future Interest</i>	352
	<i>Leasehold Estates</i>	353
	<i>Easements</i>	353
	<i>License</i>	354
	<i>Co-Ownership</i>	354
	<i>Condominiums and Cooperatives</i>	354
	<i>Burton Stevens v. Elk Run Homeowners' Association, Inc.</i>	355
	Voluntary Transfer of Real Property	356
	<i>Execution</i>	357
	<i>Delivery</i>	359
	<i>Acceptance</i>	359
	<i>Recording</i>	359
	Involuntary Transfer of Real Property	359
	<i>Adverse Possession</i>	359
	<i>Condemnation</i>	359
	<i>Susette Kelo et al., Petitioners, v. City of New London, Connecticut, et al.</i>	361
	Restrictions on Land Use	362
	<i>Restrictive Covenants</i>	362
	<i>Zoning</i>	363
	<i>Emine Bayram v. City of Binghamton and City of Binghamton Zoning Board of Appeals</i>	364
	<i>Other Statutory Restrictions on Land Use</i>	366
	Personal Property	366
	<i>Voluntary Transfer of Personal Property</i>	366
	<i>Involuntary Transfers of Personal Property</i>	367
	<i>Bailments</i>	367

	Global Dimensions of Property Law	368
	Summary	369
	Review Questions	369
	Review Problems	369
	Case Problems	370
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	371
	Assignment on the Internet	372
	On the Internet	372
	For Future Reading	372
14	Intellectual Property	373
	Introduction to Intellectual Property	373
	Trademarks	373
	<i>Toys “R” Us, Inc., v. Canarsie Kiddie Shop, Inc.</i>	374
	<i>Trade Dress</i>	377
	<i>Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995</i>	377
	<i>Victor Moseley and Kathy Moseley et al., dba Victor’s Little Secret v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc. et al.</i>	379
	Trade Secrets	380
	Patents	381
	<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i>	381
	Copyrights	383
	<i>Fair Use Doctrine</i>	384
	<i>Zomba Enterprises, Inc.; Zomba Songs, Inc., Plaintiffs-Appellees v. Panorama Records, Inc., Defendant-Appellant</i>	384
	<i>Copyrights in the Digital Age</i>	387
	<i>RealNetworks, Inc. v. DVD Control Copy Association, Inc., et al.</i>	389
	Global Dimensions of Intellectual Property Law	389
	Summary	390
	Review Questions	391
	Review Problems	391
	Case Problems	392
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	393
	Assignment on the Internet	394
	On the Internet	394
	For Future Reading	394
15	Agency Law	395
	Definition and Types of Agency Relationships	396
	<i>Definition of Agency</i>	396
	<i>Types of Agency Relationships</i>	396
	<i>Alberty-Vélez v. Corporación de Puerto Rico</i>	398
	Creation of an Agency Relationship	400
	<i>Expressed Agency or Agency by Agreement</i>	400
	<i>Agency by Implied Authority</i>	400
	<i>Penthouse International v. Barnes</i>	401
	<i>Agency Through Ratification by Principal</i>	402
	<i>Agency by Estoppel or Apparent Authority</i>	402

Motorsport Marketing, Inc. v. Wiedmaier, Inc. 403

Duties of Agents and Principals 403

Principal's Duties to Agent 403

Agent's Duties to Principal 404

Cousins v. Realty Ventures, Inc. 404

Gossels v. Fleet National Bank 406

Principal's and Agent's Liability to Third Parties 407

Contractual Liability 407

McBride v. Taxman Corp. 408

E-Commerce: Intelligent Agents 408

Liability of Disclosed, Partially Disclosed Principals, and Undisclosed Principals 409

Liability of Undisclosed Principal 409

Tort Liability 409

Tort Liability and Negligence 410

Pennsylvania State Police v. U.S. 410

Criminal Liability 411

Termination of the Principal–Agent Relationship 412

Termination by Agreement 412

Termination by Operation of Law 412

Gaddy v. Douglass 412

Global Dimensions of Agency Law 414

Japan 414

European Union 414

U.S. Agents Abroad 414

Summary 415

Review Questions 415

Review Problems 416

Case Problems 417

Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues 418

Assignment on the Internet 418

On the Internet 418

For Future Reading 418

16 Law and Business Associations—I 419

Factors Influencing a Business Manager's Choice of Organizational Form 421

Some Common Forms of Business Organization in the United States 421

Sole Proprietorships 421

General Partnerships 422

In re KeyTronics 424

Enea v. Superior Court of Monterey County 425

Limited Partnerships and Limited Liability Limited Partnerships 429

Specialized Forms of Business Associations 430

Joint Stock Company 430

Syndicate 431

Joint Venture 431

Franchising 431

Global Dimensions of Business Associations	433
<i>Outsourcing</i>	433
Summary	434
Review Questions	434
Review Problems	435
Case Problems	435
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	437
Assignment on the Internet	437
On the Internet	437
For Future Reading	437

17 Law and Business Associations—II	438
The Corporation	438
Classification of Corporations	439
<i>Closely Held Corporation</i>	439
<i>Publicly Held Corporation</i>	440
<i>Multinational or Transnational Corporation</i>	440
<i>Subchapter S Corporation</i>	440
<i>Rebs Corporation</i>	440
<i>Professional Corporation</i>	440
<i>Nonprofit Corporation</i>	441
Creation of Corporations	441
Financing of Corporations	441
<i>Debt Financing</i>	441
<i>Equity Financing</i>	442
<i>Consideration</i>	444
Operation of Corporations	445
<i>The Role of the Shareholders</i>	445
<i>The Role of the Board of Directors</i>	447
<i>In Re Abbott Laboratories Derivative Shareholders Litigation</i>	448
<i>The Role of the Officers and Managers</i>	449
<i>Fiduciary Obligations of Directors, Officers, and Managers</i>	449
<i>Beam v. Stewart</i>	450
<i>Smith v. Van Gorkom</i>	452
Limited Liability Companies	455
<i>The Uniform Limited Liability Act</i>	455
<i>LLC Characteristics</i>	455
<i>Creating a Limited Liability Company</i>	456
<i>Duration of the LLC</i>	456
<i>Financing of the LLC</i>	456
<i>Control Considerations</i>	456
<i>Tax Ramifications</i>	456
Global Dimension of Corporations: A “Big Fat Greek” Bailout II and III	457
Summary	457
Review Questions	458
Review Problems	458
Case Problems	459
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	460

Assignment on the Internet	460
On the Internet	461
For Future Reading	461

PART THREE

Public Law and the Legal Environment of Business 463

18	The Law of Administrative Agencies	464
	Introduction to Administrative Law and Administrative Agencies	465
	<i>Administrative Law</i>	465
	<i>Administrative Agencies</i>	466
	Creation of Administrative Agencies	467
	Functions of Administrative Agencies	468
	<i>Rulemaking</i>	468
	<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i>	470
	<i>Adjudication</i>	471
	<i>Fox Television Stations, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission</i>	475
	<i>Administrative Activities</i>	476
	Limitations on Administrative Agencies' Powers	476
	<i>Statutory Limitations</i>	476
	<i>Institutional Limitations</i>	477
	State and Local Administrative Agencies	478
	<i>Vonage Holdings Corp. v. Minnesota Public Utilities Commission</i>	479
	Global Dimensions of Administrative Agencies	480
	Summary	480
	Review Questions	480
	Review Problems	481
	Case Problems	482
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	483
	Assignment on the Internet	484
	On the Internet	484
	For Future Reading	484
19	The Employment Relationship	485
	Wage and Hour Laws	486
	Unemployment Compensation	490
	<i>Cassandra Jenkins v. American Express Financial Corp.</i>	490
	Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985	491
	Worker's Compensation Laws	492
	<i>Coverage</i>	492
	<i>Recoverable Benefits</i>	493
	<i>The Claims Process</i>	493
	<i>Benefits of the Worker's Compensation System</i>	493
	The Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993	494
	<i>Major Provisions</i>	494

	<i>Rachael Schaar, Appellant v. Lehigh Valley Health Services, Inc.;</i> <i>Lehigh Valley Physicians Business Services, Inc.</i>	495
	<i>Remedies for Violations of the FMLA</i>	497
	<i>The Future of the FMLA</i>	498
	The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970	500
	<i>Occupational Safety and Health Administration</i>	500
	<i>Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission</i>	503
	<i>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health</i>	503
	<i>Implementation of the OSH Act</i>	503
	Employee Privacy Rights	504
	<i>Electronic Monitoring and Communication</i>	505
	<i>Brian Pietrylo and Doreen Marino v. Hillstone Restaurant Group</i>	506
	<i>Drug Testing</i>	509
	<i>Other Testing</i>	510
	Global Dimensions of the Employment Relationship	511
	Summary	511
	Review Questions	512
	Review Problems	512
	Case Problems	513
	Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	514
	Assignment on the Internet	515
	On the Internet	515
	For Future Reading	516
20	Laws Governing Labor–Management Relations	517
	Structure of the Primary U.S. Labor Legislation and the Mechanisms for Its Enforcement	519
	<i>The Wagner Act of 1935</i>	519
	<i>The Taft–Hartley Act of 1947</i>	520
	<i>The Landrum–Griffith Act of 1959</i>	520
	<i>The National Labor Relations Board</i>	521
	Labor Organizing	526
	<i>Board Rules</i>	526
	<i>Unfair Labor Practices by Employers</i>	527
	<i>Progressive Electric, Inc. v. National Labor Relations Board</i>	528
	<i>Gaetano & Associates, Inc. v. National Labor Relations Board</i>	531
	<i>Unfair Labor Practices by Employees</i>	532
	<i>Organizing the Appropriate Unit</i>	533
	<i>Specialty Healthcare and Rehabilitation Center of Mobile and United Steelworkers, District 9, Petitioner.</i>	534
	The Collective Bargaining Process	536
	<i>Subjects of Bargaining</i>	537
	Strikes, Boycotts, and Picketing	537
	<i>Strikes</i>	538
	<i>Boycotts</i>	540
	<i>Picketing</i>	540
	Global Dimensions of Labor–Management Relations	541
	Summary	541
	Review Questions	542
	Review Problems	542

Case Problems	543
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	545
Assignment on the Internet	546
On the Internet	546
For Future Reading	546
21 Employment Discrimination	547
The Employment-at-Will Doctrine	548
Constitutional Provisions	550
The Civil Rights Acts of 1866 and 1871	550
<i>Applicability of the Acts</i>	550
The Equal Pay Act of 1963	551
<i>Equal Work</i>	551
<i>Defenses</i>	552
<i>Remedies</i>	553
The Civil Rights Act of 1964, as Amended (Title VII), and the Civil Rights Act of 1991	553
<i>Applicability of the Act</i>	553
<i>Proof in Employment Discrimination Cases</i>	554
<i>Teresa Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.</i>	558
<i>Vance v. Ball State University</i>	561
<i>Retaliation</i>	561
<i>Statutory Defenses</i>	562
<i>Desert Palace, Inc., dba Caesar's Palace Hotel & Casino v. Catharina Costa</i>	563
<i>Protected Classes</i>	565
<i>Enforcement Procedures</i>	568
<i>Remedies</i>	569
<i>Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act of 2009</i>	571
The Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967	572
<i>Applicability of the Statute</i>	573
<i>Proving Age Discrimination</i>	573
<i>Jones v. National American University</i>	574
<i>Statutory Defenses</i>	575
<i>Enforcement Procedures</i>	576
<i>Remedies Under Adea</i>	577
The Rehabilitation Act of 1973	577
The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1991	577
<i>Covered Individuals</i>	578
<i>McMillan v. City of New York</i>	579
<i>Enforcement Procedures</i>	581
<i>Remedies</i>	581
Affirmative Action	582
Global Dimensions of Employment Discrimination Legislation	586
Summary	587
Review Questions	587
Review Problems	588
Case Problems	589

Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	590
Assignment on the Internet	591
On the Internet	591
For Future Reading	592

22 Environmental Law 593

Alternative Approaches to Environmental Protection	594
<i>Tort Law</i>	594
<i>Boomer et al. v. Atlantic Cement Co.</i>	594
<i>Government Subsidies Approach</i>	596
<i>Emission Charges Approach</i>	596
<i>Marketable Discharge Permits Approach</i>	596
<i>Direct Regulation Approach</i>	597
The Environmental Protection Agency	597
The National Environmental Policy Act of 1970	598
<i>Threshold Considerations</i>	599
<i>Content of the EIS</i>	599
<i>Brodsky v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission</i>	600
<i>Effectiveness of the EIS Process</i>	601
Regulating Water Quality	601
<i>The Federal Water Pollution Control Act</i>	601
<i>The Safe Drinking Water Act</i>	602
Regulating Air Quality	602
<i>Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	603
<i>The National Ambient Air Quality Standards</i>	604
<i>New Source Review</i>	605
<i>The Acid Rain Control Program</i>	605
<i>Climate Change</i>	606
Regulating Hazardous Waste and Toxic Substances	607
<i>The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976</i>	608
<i>The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as Amended by the Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act of 1986</i>	610
<i>The Toxic Substances Control Act of 1979</i>	612
<i>The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act of 1972</i>	612
The Pollution Prevention Act of 1990	613
<i>Business Aspects of Voluntary Pollution Prevention</i>	614
<i>Sustainable Development</i>	614
Global Dimensions of Environmental Regulation	614
<i>The Need for International Cooperation</i>	614
<i>The Transnational Nature of Pollution</i>	615
<i>The Global Commons</i>	616
<i>Primary Responses of the United States</i>	616
Summary	617
Review Questions	618
Review Problems	618
Case Problems	619
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	620

Assignment on the Internet 621
 On the Internet 621
 For Future Reading 621

23	Rules Governing the Issuance and Trading of Securities	622
	Introduction to the Regulation of Securities	623
	<i>Summary of Federal Securities Legislation</i>	624
	<i>The Securities and Exchange Commission</i>	627
	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010	630
	<i>Oversight of Financial Problems by Regulatory Agencies</i>	631
	<i>Risk Taking by Large Banks and Nonbanks</i>	631
	<i>Executive Compensation</i>	631
	<i>Too Big to Fail</i>	632
	<i>Credit Rating Agencies</i>	632
	<i>Derivatives</i>	632
	<i>Consumer Protection</i>	633
	<i>Exemptions</i>	633
	<i>Regulation of the Regulators by a Court of Law</i>	633
	The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	634
	<i>Corporate Accountability</i>	634
	<i>New Accounting Regulations</i>	635
	<i>Criminal Penalties</i>	635
	The Securities Act of 1933	635
	<i>Definition of a Security</i>	635
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Edwards</i>	636
	<i>Registration of Securities Under the 1933 Act</i>	637
	<i>Securities and Transactions Exempt from Registration Under the 1933 Act</i>	640
	<i>Resale Restrictions</i>	643
	<i>Liability, Remedies, and Defenses Under the 1933 Securities Act</i>	644
	The Securities Exchange Act of 1934	646
	<i>Registration of Securities Issuers, Brokers, and Dealers</i>	646
	<i>Disclosure: Compensation</i>	649
	<i>Securities Markets</i>	649
	<i>Proxy Solicitations</i>	650
	<i>Tender Offers and Takeover Bids</i>	652
	<i>Remedies and Defensive Strategies</i>	653
	<i>Barbara Schreiber v. Burlington Northern, Inc.</i>	653
	<i>Securities Fraud</i>	656
	<i>Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC, et al. v. Scientific-Atlanta Inc., et al.</i>	656
	<i>Securities and Exchange Commission v. Texas Gulf Sulphur Co.</i>	660
	<i>Liability and Remedies Under the 1934 Exchange Act</i>	662
	<i>The Wharf (Holdings) Limited v. United International Holdings, Inc.</i>	663
	<i>Short-Swing Profits</i>	663
	State Securities Laws	665
	E-Commerce, Online Securities Disclosure, and Fraud Regulation	665
	<i>Marketplace of Securities</i>	665
	<i>E-Commerce and Fraud in the Marketplace</i>	665

Global Dimensions of Rules Governing the Issuance and Trading of Securities	665
<i>Legislation Prohibiting Bribery and Money Laundering Overseas</i>	666
<i>Legislation Governing Foreign Securities Sold in the United States</i>	667
<i>Regulations and Offshore Transactions</i>	668
Summary	668
Review Questions	668
Review Problems	669
Case Problems	670
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	670
Assignment on the Internet	671
On the Internet	671
For Future Reading	671
24 Antitrust Laws	672
Introduction to Antitrust Law	673
<i>A Definition of Antitrust</i>	673
<i>Law and Economics: Setting and Enforcing Antitrust Policy</i>	673
<i>Goals of the Antitrust Statutes</i>	675
Enforcement of and Exemptions from the Antitrust Laws	676
<i>Enforcement</i>	676
<i>Exemptions</i>	678
The Sherman Act of 1890	679
<i>Section 1: Combinations and Restraints of Trade</i>	679
<i>Williamson Oil Co. v. Philip Morris, USA</i>	681
<i>Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc., dba Kay's Kloset, Kay's Shoes</i>	685
<i>Continental TV, Inc. v. GTE Sylvania</i>	687
<i>Section 2: Monopolies</i>	690
<i>Newcal Industries, Inc. v. Ikon Office Solutions</i>	691
<i>United States v. Microsoft Corporation</i>	693
The Clayton Act of 1914	695
<i>Section 2: Price Discrimination</i>	696
<i>Section 3: Tying Arrangements and Exclusive-Dealing Contracts</i>	697
<i>Section 7: Mergers and Acquisitions</i>	697
<i>Section 8: Interlocking Directorates</i>	703
Other Antitrust Statutes	703
<i>Federal Trade Commission Act of 1914</i>	703
<i>California Dental Association v. Federal Trade Commission</i>	703
<i>Bank Merger Act of 1966</i>	704
Global Dimensions of Antitrust Statutes	705
<i>Transnational Reach of U.S. Antitrust Legislation</i>	705
<i>Global Dimensions of U.S. Antitrust Laws</i>	705
<i>Enforcement</i>	706
Summary	707
Review Questions	708
Review Problems	708
Case Problems	709

Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues	710
Assignment on the Internet	710
On the Internet	711
For Future Reading	711

25 Laws of Debtor–Creditor Relations and Consumer Protection	712
Debtor–Creditor Relations	713
<i>Rights of and Remedies for Creditors</i>	714
<i>Rights and Remedies for Debtors</i>	715
The Federal Bankruptcy Code and the Incorporation of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005	716
<i>History and Background</i>	716
<i>Bankruptcy Management and Proceedings</i>	717
Chapter 7	720
<i>In re Savage v. United State Bankruptcy</i>	721
Chapter 13	723
Chapter 11	724
Chapter 12	725
<i>The New Bankruptcy Law – 2011</i>	726
The Evolution of Consumer Law	727
<i>Economics</i>	727
Federal Regulation of Business Trade Practices and Consumer–Business Relationships	728
<i>The Federal Trade Commission: Functions, Structure, and Enforcement Powers</i>	728
<i>Deceptive and Unfair Advertising</i>	729
<i>Federal Trade Commission v. Verity International, Ltd.</i>	730
<i>Federal Trade Commission v. QT, Inc.</i>	731
<i>Consumer Legislation</i>	733
Federal Laws Regulating Consumer Credit and Business Debt-Collection Practices	737
<i>Truth-in-Lending Act</i>	737
<i>Household Credit Services, Inc. v. Pfenning</i>	739
<i>Credit Card Accountability, Responsibility and Disclosure Act of 2009</i>	740
<i>The Electronic Fund Transfer Act</i> ¹³	741
<i>A Plastic Society</i>	741
<i>The Fair Credit Reporting Act</i> ¹⁵	742
<i>Safeco Insurance Co. v. Burr</i>	743
<i>Identity Theft and Credit Ratings</i>	744
<i>Equal Credit Opportunity Act</i> ¹⁷	744
<i>The Fair Credit Billing Act</i> ¹⁸	745
<i>The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act</i> ¹⁹	746
<i>Miller v. McCalla, Raymer Padrick and Clark, LLC</i>	747
Dodd-Frank Act and Consumer Protection	748
<i>Credit And Debit Cards</i>	748
<i>Consumer Loans</i>	749
<i>Credit Scores</i>	749
<i>Residential Mortgages</i>	749

State Consumer Legislation 749
 Uniform Consumer Credit Code 749
 Unfair and Deceptive Practices Statutes 750
 Arbitration of Disputes 750
Global Dimensions of Consumer Protection Laws 750
Summary 751
Review Questions 751
Review Problems 752
Case Problems 753
Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues 754
Assignment on the Internet 754
 On the Internet 755
 For Future Reading 755

APPENDIX A THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES 756

APPENDIX B UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE (2000 OFFICIAL TEXT),
ARTICLE 2 762

GLOSSARY 777

INDEX 791

Preface

The Legal Environment of Business: A Critical Thinking Approach, 7th edition, is exactly what its name implies: a comprehensive textbook that not only helps students develop a thorough understanding of the legal environment of business, but also enhances their ability to engage in critical thinking and ethical analysis. Students thus develop the knowledge and skills necessary to survive in an increasingly competitive global environment.

The initial motivation for this book was the authors' perceptions that there was no legal environment book available that explicitly and adequately facilitated the development of students' critical thinking skills. Nor was there a book that really integrated ethical analysis throughout the text.

Some people may argue that the traditional method of case analysis allows students to develop their critical thinking skills. The problem with this approach, however, is that it focuses only on the analytical skills, while ignoring the evaluative component that is really the essence of critical thinking; it also lacks an ethics component. To engage in critical thinking necessarily includes consideration of the impact of values on the outcome being considered.

The use of cases in the legal environment of business classroom, however, can provide an excellent opportunity for the development of students' critical thinking abilities when the traditional case method is modified to emphasize development of these critical thinking skills. Additionally, as the students enhance their critical thinking skills, their understanding of the substance of the law also improves.

The following components of *The Legal Environment of Business: A Critical Thinking Approach* ensure that our goal of developing critically thinking students who understand the important concepts of business law and the legal environment of business is attained.

- **An explicit critical thinking model developed by the author of the best-selling critical thinking textbook is set forth in the first chapter.**

An eight-step model has as its base the traditional method of case analysis, but adds crucial critical thinking questions that also incorporate ethical analysis. The steps are clearly explained, and students are encouraged to apply the steps to every case in the text.

- **Additional critical thinking and ethical analysis questions incorporated at the beginning of each chapter and after selected cases.** These additional questions help to reinforce the skills emphasized in the model.
- **“Thinking Critically about Relevant Legal Issues” essays at the end of each chapter, which give students additional opportunities to develop their critical thinking skills.** These essays, found at the end of each chapter, allow students to extend their use of their newly developed critical thinking skills beyond cases to the kinds of arguments they will encounter in their daily lives.

Other Points of Distinction

- **Explicit links connecting the law to other disciplines.** This text is the only legal environment book to respond to the call for more integration among courses in colleges of business. “Linking Law and Business” boxes explicitly state how the law in an area directly affects or is affected by a concept in one of the core areas of business, such as accounting, management, and marketing. These boxes appear in every chapter.
- **A balanced mix of classic and current cases.** This book contains many of the most significant classic and contemporary cases, including key U.S.

Supreme Court decisions handed down as recently as 2013. Whenever possible, cases were chosen that not only demonstrate important concepts but also contain fact situations that would interest students.

- **Emphasis on the global environment.** Many of our students will be working in countries other than the United States, and U.S. companies will have many dealings with foreign companies. Thus, an understanding of the global environment is essential for today's business student. This text emphasizes the importance of the global environment by using both the stand-alone and infusion approaches. Chapter 9 focuses explicitly on the global environment of business, and then we integrate global considerations into every chapter with our global dimensions sections and our "Comparative Law Corner," which allows students to see how U.S. law compares to that of other nations around the world. The feature can also sensitize students to the idea that if something is not working well in our country, it might make sense to see how some other countries address similar issues. Examples include:
 - Eminent domain in Germany
 - The judicial system in Germany
 - Corporate speech in Canada
 - Unions in Sweden
 - Pollution controls in Japan
- **For Future Reading feature.** We all want our students to become lifelong learners, and we especially want them to continue learning about the law. But how do they know where to go? This feature, found at the end of each chapter, provides a short list of books and articles related to the material in each chapter that interested students may read to learn more about the new areas of law they have just discovered.

New to This Edition

- Added an exciting new pedagogical feature to every chapter beginning with Chapter 4. This new feature, "Applying the Law to the Facts," provides periodic hypothetical situations to which the students apply legal concepts they have just learned. This feature allows the students to continually check their understanding of new legal concepts as they read the material.
- Reorganized and updated the cyber law material by integrating it throughout the book in the chapters where it is substantively appropriate, rather than grouping it all in one cyberlaw chapter.
- Discussion of recent significant changes in the law that may have an effect on business, such as the overturning of the Defense of Marriage Act, discussed in Chapters 5 and 18.
- Updated cases. Cases in this edition have been significantly updated. We have retained the classic cases from the previous edition, as well as those that students find especially interesting or that do an exceptional job of illustrating an important point of law. All of the other cases have been replaced by more current cases that will be of greater interest to our students and that capture the most current changes in the law. A few examples of new cases include:
 - *AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion et ux* (Chapter 4)
 - *Florida v. Jardines* (Chapter 5)
 - *Bilski v. Kappos* (Chapter 14)
 - *Vance v. Ball State University* (Chapter 21)

- Case problems. Approximately one-third of the case problems from the seventh edition have been replaced with more current case problems.
- Revised “For Future Reading” sections. Suggested readings at the end of each chapter have been updated to emphasize more current legal issues.

For Instructors

We offer electronic supplements to meet the unique teaching needs of each instructor. Supplements that accompany this text are available for download by instructors only at our Instructor Resource Center, at www.pearsonhighered.com/irc.

- **Instructor’s Manual**
- **Test Item File**
- **E-mail case updates.** Adopters of the book may subscribe to a list that will provide regular case updates via e-mail, consisting of edited versions of newly decided cases, as well as suggestions for where they would fit in the text. To subscribe, just send an e-mail to Nancy Kubasek at nkubase@bgsu.edu and ask to be added to the CTLEB list.
- **PowerPoints**

This page intentionally left blank

Acknowledgments

The author would like to acknowledge, with thanks, the following reviewers of the current and past editions of this text:

Robert Aalberts, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Victor Alicea, Normandale Community College
Carlos Alsua, University of Alaska, Anchorage
S. Catherine Anderson, Queens University of Charlotte
Teddy Jack Armstrong, Carl Albert State College
Janie Blankenship, Del Mar College
William Bockanic, John Carroll University
Heidi Bulich, College of Business, Michigan State University
Kimble Byrd, Rowan University
Greg Cermignano, Widener University
Glenn Chappell, Coker College
William Christian, College of Santa Fe
Linda Christiansen, Indiana University Southeast
Patrick Cihon, Whitman School of Management, Syracuse University
Michael Costello, University of Missouri–St. Louis
Robert Cox, Salt Lake Community College
Jamey Darnell, Barton College
Regina Davenport, Pearl River Community College
Kevin Derr, Pennsylvania College of Technology
Julia Derrick, Brevard Community College
David F. Dieteman, Penn State Erie, The Behrend College
Joseph Dworak, San Jose State University
Bruce Elder, University of Nebraska, Kearney
Gail Evans, University of Houston, Downtown
David Forsyth, ASU Polytechnic
Lucky Franks, Bellevue University
Samuel B. Garber, DePaul University
Rosario Girasa, Pace University
Van Graham, Gardner-Webb University
John Gray, Loyola College in Maryland
David Griffis, University of San Francisco
Jason Harris, Augustana College
Norman Hawker, Haworth College of Business, Western Michigan University
Richard Hunter, Seton Hall University
Marilyn Johnson, Mississippi Delta Community College
Nancy Johnson, Mt. San Jacinto Community College
Catherine Jones-Rikkens, Grand Valley State University
James Kelley, Notre Dame de Namur University
Lara Kessler, Grand Valley State University
Ernest King, University of Southern Mississippi
Audrey Wolfson Latourette, Richard Stockton College of New Jersey
Larry Laurent, McCoy College of Business, Texas State University
Marty Ludlum, Oklahoma City Community College
Leslie S. Lukasik, Skagit Valley College
Vicki Luoma, Minnesota State University
Daniel Lykins, Oregon State University
Bryan Jon Maciewski, Fond du Lac Tribal and Community College
Maurice McCann, Southern Illinois University
George McNary, College of Business Administration, Creighton University
Don Miller-Kermani, Brevard Community College

David Missirian, Bentley College
Odell Moon, Victor Valley College
Henry Moore, University of Pittsburgh, Greensburg
Mark Muhich, Mesabi Range Community & Technical College
Kimber Palmer, Texas A&M International University
Steve Palmer, Eastern New Mexico University
Darka Powers, Northeastern Illinois University
Charles Radeline, St. Petersburg College
Linda Reid, University of Wisconsin–Whitewater
Bruce Rockwood, College of Business, Bloomsburg University
Robert Rowlands, Harrisburg Area Community College
Kenneth J. Sanney, Central Michigan University
Ira Selkowitz, University of Colorado at Denver and Health Sciences Center
Mary Sessom, Cuyamaca College
James Smith, Bellevue University
Craig Stilwell, Michigan State University
Pamela Stokes, Texas A&M–Corpus Christi
Keith Swim, Jr., Mays Business School, Texas A&M University
Harold Tepool, Vincennes University
Daphyne Saunders Thomas, James Madison University
David Torres, Angelo State University
Kyle Usre, Whitworth College
Deborah Walsh, Middlesex Community College
Joe Walsh, Lees-McRae College
Dalph Watson, Madonna University
Mary Ellen Wells, Alvernia College
John Whitehead, Kilgore College
John Williams, Northwestern State University
Levon Wilson, Georgia Southern University
Rob Wilson, Whitworth College
Andrew Yee, University of San Francisco

About the Authors

NANCY KUBASEK is a Professor of Legal Studies at Bowling Green State University, where she teaches the Legal Environment of Business and Environmental Law courses. For eight years she team-taught a freshman honors seminar on critical thinking and values analysis. She has published another undergraduate textbook with Pearson Education, *Environmental Law* (7th ed., 2010) and more than 75 articles. Professor Kubasek's articles have appeared in such journals as the *American Business Law Journal*, the *Journal of Legal Studies Education*, the *Harvard Women's Law Journal*, the *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics*, and the *Harvard Journal on Legislation*. She received her J.D. from the University of Toledo College of Law and her B.A. from Bowling Green State University.



Active in her professional associations, Professor Kubasek has served as president of the TriState Regional Academy of Legal Studies in Business, and president of the national professional association, the Academy of Legal Studies in Business (ALSB). Committed to helping students become excited about legal research, she organized the first Undergraduate Student Paper Competition of the ALSB's Annual Meeting, an event that now provides an annual opportunity for students to present their original legal research at a national convention. She has also published several articles with students and has received her university's highest award for faculty-student research. She states:

The most important thing that a teacher can do is to help his or her students develop the skills and attitudes necessary to become lifelong learners. Professors should help their students learn the types of questions to ask to analyze complex legal issues, and to develop a set of criteria to apply when evaluating reasons. If we are successful, students will leave our legal environment of business classroom with a basic understanding of important legal concepts, a set of evaluative criteria to apply when evaluating arguments that includes an ethical component, and a desire to continue learning.

To attain these goals, the classroom must be an interactive one, where students learn to ask important questions, define contexts, generate sound reasons, point out the flaws in erroneous reasoning, recognize alternative perspectives, and consider the impacts that their decisions (both now and in the future) have on the broader community beyond themselves.

BARTLEY A. BRENNAN is an Emeritus Professor of Legal Studies at Bowling Green State University. He is a graduate of the School of Foreign Service, Georgetown University (B.S. International Economics); the College of Law, State University of New York at Buffalo (J.D.); and Memphis State University (M.A. Economics). He was a volunteer in the United States Peace Corps, was employed by the Office of Opinions and Review of the Federal Communications Commission, and worked in the general counsel's office of a private international corporation. Professor Brennan has received appointments as a visiting associate professor at the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, and as a Research Fellow at the Ethics Resource Center, Washington, D.C. He is the author of articles dealing with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977, as amended; the business judgment rule; law and economics; and business ethics. He has published numerous articles in such journals as the *American Business Law Journal*, *University of North Carolina Journal of International Law*, and the *Notre Dame University Journal of Legislation*. He is a coauthor of *Modern Business Law* (3d ed.). He has testified on amending the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act before the Sub-Committee on International Economics and Finance of the House Commerce, Energy, and Telecommunications Committee.





M. NEIL BROWNE is a Distinguished Teaching Professor of Economics, director of IMPACT, an Honors Residential Learning Community Centered around the Principles of Intellectual Discovery and Moral Commitment, and coach of the Mock Trial Team at Bowling Green State University. He received a J.D. from the University of Toledo and a Ph.D. from the University of Texas. He is the coauthor of seven books and more than a hundred research articles in professional journals. One of his books, *Asking the Right Questions: A Guide to Critical Thinking* (6th ed.), is a leading text in the field of critical thinking. His most recent book, *Striving for Excellence in College: Tips for Active Learning*, provides learners with practical ideas for expanding the power and effectiveness of their thinking. Professor Browne has been asked by dozens of colleges and universities to aid their faculty in developing critical thinking skills on their respective campuses. He also serves on the editorial board of the *Korean Journal of Critical Thinking*. In 1989, he was a silver medalist for the Council for the Advancement and Support of Education's National Professor of the Year award. Also, in 1989, he was named the Ohio Professor of the Year. He has won numerous teaching awards on both local and national levels. He states:

When students come into contact with conflicting claims, they can react in several fashions; my task is to enable them to evaluate these persuasive attempts. I try to provide them with a broad range of criteria and attitudes that reasonable people tend to use as they think their way through a conversation. In addition, I urge them to use productive questions as a stimulus to deep discussion, a looking below the surface of an argument for the assumptions underlying the visible component of the reasoning. The eventual objectives are to enable them to be highly selective in their choice of beliefs and to provide them with the greater sense of meaning that stems from knowing that they have used their own minds to separate sense from relative nonsense.

P A R T O N E

Introduction to the Law and the Legal Environment of Business

Part One introduces the concept of critical thinking. A business manager needs to learn and practice asking questions that ensure the selection of the best advice and subsequent strategy. In addition, we provide an overview of how the American legal system works. This overview requires us to understand alternative philosophies of law, alternative philosophies of ethics, how the constitutional foundations of our legal system work to resolve both criminal and civil disputes, and alternative methods of resolving disputes. Part One concludes with a discussion of white-collar crime, a major problem in the legal environment of business.

1

Critical Thinking and Legal Reasoning

- THE IMPORTANCE OF CRITICAL THINKING
- A CRITICAL THINKING MODEL
- THE CRITICAL THINKING STEPS
- USING CRITICAL THINKING TO MAKE LEGAL REASONING COME ALIVE
- APPLYING THE CRITICAL THINKING APPROACH

The Importance of Critical Thinking

critical thinking skills The ability to understand the structure of an argument and apply a set of evaluative criteria to assess its merits.

Success in the modern business firm requires the development of **critical thinking skills**. Business leaders regularly list these skills as the first set of competencies needed in business. *Critical thinking* refers to the ability to understand what someone is saying and then to ask specific questions enabling you to assess the quality of the reasoning offered to support whatever advice someone has given you. Because firms are under increasing competitive pressure, business and industry need managers with advanced thinking skills.¹ Highlighting this need, a report by the U.S. secretary of education states that because “one of the major goals of business education is preparing students for the workforce, students and their professors must respond to this need for enhancing critical thinking skills.”²

Calls for improvements in critical thinking skills also come from those concerned about business leadership. David A. Garvin of the Harvard Business School told the New York Times that there is a general feeling in the business community that business leaders need to sharpen their critical thinking skills.³ As a future business manager, you will experience many leadership dilemmas: All such questions require legal analysis and business leadership, guided by critical thinking.

The message is clear: Success in business today requires critical thinking skills, and there is no better context in which to develop them than in the study of business law. Critical thinking skills learned in the Legal Environment of Business course will be easily transferred to your eventual role as a manager, entrepreneur, or other business professional. The law develops through argument among various parties. Critical thinking about these arguments gives direction to the development of more effective law.

Legal reasoning is like other reasoning in some ways and different in others. When people, including lawyers and judges, reason, they do so for a purpose. Some problem or dilemma bothers them. The stimulus that gets them

¹C. Sormunen and M. Chalupa, “Critical Thinking Skills Research: Developing Evaluation Techniques,” *Journal of Education for Business* 69: 172 (1994).

²*Id.*

³John Baldon, “How Leaders Should Think Critically,” *HBR Blog Network*, January 20, 2010.

thinking is the *issue*. It is stated as a question because it is a call for action. It requires them to do something, to think about answers.

For instance, in our Legal Environment of Business course, we are interested in such issues as:

1. Under the National Labor Relations Act, when are union organizers permitted to enter an employer's property?
2. Do petroleum firms have liability for the environmental and economic effects of oil spills?
3. Must a business fulfill a contract when the contract is made with an unlicensed contractor in a state requiring that all contractors be licensed?

These questions have several possible answers. Which one should you choose? Critical thinking and ethical reasoning moves us toward better choices. Some of your answers could get you into trouble; others could advance your purpose. Each answer is called a *conclusion*. The **conclusion** is a position or stance on an issue, the take-away that the person giving you advice wants you to believe.

Business firms encounter legal conclusions in the form of laws or court decisions and in the advice they receive from people with formal legal training. As businesses learn about and react to decisions or conclusions made by courts, they have two primary methods of response:

1. Memorize the conclusions or rules of law as a guide for future business decisions.
2. Make judgments about the quality of the conclusions.

This book encourages you to do both. What is unique about this text is its practical approach to evaluating legal reasoning. This approach is based on using critical thinking skills to understand and evaluate the law as it affects business.

There are many forms of critical thinking, but they all share one characteristic: They focus on the quality of someone's reasoning. Critical thinking is active; it challenges each of us to form judgments about the quality of the link between someone's reasons and conclusions. In particular, we will be focusing on the link between a court's reasons and its conclusions.

A Critical Thinking Model

You will learn critical thinking by practicing it. This text will tutor you, but your efforts are the key to your skill as a critical thinker. Because people often learn best by example, we will introduce you to critical thinking by demonstrating it in a model that you can easily follow.

We now turn to a sample of critical thinking in practice. The eight critical thinking questions listed in Exhibit 1-1 and applied in the sample case that follows illustrate the approach you should use when reading cases to develop your critical thinking abilities.

As a citizen, entrepreneur, or manager, you will encounter cases like the one that follows. How would you respond? What do you think about the quality of Judge Cedarbaum's reasoning?

conclusion A position or stance on an issue; the goal toward which reasoning pushes us.

EXHIBIT 1-1 THE EIGHT STEPS TO LEGAL REASONING

8. Is there relevant missing information?
7. How appropriate are the legal analogies?
6. What ethical norms are fundamental to the court's reasoning?
5. Does the legal argument contain significant ambiguity?
4. What are the relevant rules of law?
3. What are the reasons and conclusion?
2. What is the issue?
1. What are the facts?

CASE 1-1



United States of America v. Martha Stewart and Peter Bacanovic

United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 2004
U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12538

Defendants Martha Stewart and Peter Bacanovic were both convicted of conspiracy, making false statements, and obstruction of an agency proceeding, following Stewart's sale of 3,928 shares of ImClone stock on December 27, 2001. Stewart sold all of her ImClone stock after Bacanovic, Stewart's stockbroker at Merrill Lynch, informed Stewart that the CEO of ImClone, Samuel Waksal, was trying to sell his company stock. On December 28, 2001, ImClone announced that the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) had not approved the company's cancer-fighting drug Erbitux. Thereafter, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York began investigations into the trading of ImClone stock, including investigations of Stewart and Bacanovic. Following Stewart's and Bacanovic's criminal convictions, the defendants filed a motion for a new trial, alleging that expert witness Lawrence F. Stewart, director of the Forensic Services Division of the United States Secret Service, had committed perjury in his testimony on behalf of the prosecution. As the "national expert for ink analysis," Lawrence Stewart testified about the reliability of defendant Bacanovic's personal documents that contained information about Martha Stewart's investments in ImClone.

Judge Cedarbaum

Rule 33 provides: "Upon the defendant's motion, the court may vacate any judgment and grant a new trial if the interest of justice so requires." However, "in the interest of according finality to a jury's verdict, a motion for a new trial based on previously-undiscovered evidence is ordinarily 'not favored and should be granted only with great caution.'" In most situations, therefore, "relief is justified under Rule 33 only if the newly-discovered evidence could not have been discovered, exercising due diligence, before or during trial, and that evidence 'is so material and non-cumulative that its admission would probably lead to an acquittal.'"

But the mere fact that a witness committed perjury is insufficient, standing alone, to warrant relief under Rule 33. "Whether the introduction of perjured testimony requires a new trial initially depends on the extent to which the prosecution was aware of the alleged perjury. To prevent prosecutorial misconduct, a conviction obtained when the prosecution's case includes testimony that was known or should have been known to be perjured must be reversed if there is any reasonable likelihood that the perjured testimony influenced the jury." When the Government is unaware of the perjury

at the time of trial, "a new trial is warranted only if the testimony was material and 'the court [is left] with a firm belief that but for the perjured testimony, the defendant would most likely not have been convicted.'"

Since [*United States v.*] *Wallach*, the Second Circuit has noted that even when the prosecution knew a witness was committing perjury, "where independent evidence supports a defendant's conviction, the subsequent discovery that a witness's testimony at trial was perjured will not warrant a new trial."

Defendants have failed to demonstrate that the prosecution knew or should have known of Lawrence's perjury. However, even under the stricter prejudice standard applicable when the Government is aware of a witness's perjury, defendants' motions fail. There is no reasonable likelihood that knowledge by the jury that Lawrence lied about his participation in the ink tests and whether he was aware of a book proposal could have affected the verdict.

The verdict, the nature of Lawrence's perjury, and the corroboration that Lawrence's substantive testimony received from the defense's expert demonstrate that Lawrence's misrepresentations could have had no effect on defendants' convictions.

First, the jury found that the Government did not satisfy its burden of proof on the charges to which Lawrence's testimony was relevant. Defendants do not dispute that Bacanovic was acquitted of the charge of making and using a false document, and that none of the false statement and perjury specifications concerning the existence of the \$60 agreement were found by the jury to have been proved *beyond a reasonable doubt*. . . . In other words, the jury convicted defendants of lies that had nothing to do with the \$60 agreement. The outcome would have been no different had Lawrence's entire testimony been rejected by the jury, or had Lawrence not testified at all.

Defendants argue that acquittal on some charges does not establish that the jury completely disregarded Lawrence's testimony. They contend that the \$60 agreement constituted Stewart and Bacanovic's core defense and that the "@60" notation was evidence which supported that defense; thus, to the extent that awareness of Lawrence's perjury could have caused the jury to discredit his testimony and have greater confidence in the existence of the agreement and the validity of the notation, the jury would have been more willing to believe defendants' version of the events.

This argument is wholly speculative and logically flawed. The existence of the \$60 agreement would not have exonerated defendants. It would not have been

inconsistent for the jury to find that defendants did make the \$60 agreement, but that the agreement was not the reason for the sale. Defendants do not persuasively explain how knowledge of Lawrence's lies could have made the jury more likely to believe that the agreement was the reason for the sale.

As an initial matter, defendants overstate the importance of the \$60 agreement to this prosecution. That a \$60 agreement was the reason for Stewart's sale was only one of many lies defendants were charged with telling investigators to conceal that Stewart sold her stock because of Bacanovic's tip.

In addition to the substantial basis for concluding that the jury's decision could not have been affected by the revelation of Lawrence's misrepresentations, ample evidence unrelated to the \$60 agreement or to Lawrence's testimony supports defendants' convictions.

The testimony of Faneuil, Perret, and Pasternak supports the jury's determinations that Stewart lied when

she told investigators that she did not recall being informed of Waksal's trading on December 27. . . .

Finally, Faneuil's testimony supports the jury's determination that Stewart lied when she claimed not to have spoken with Bacanovic about the Government investigation into ImClone trading or Stewart's ImClone trade (Specifications Six and Seven of Count Three). Faneuil stated that Bacanovic repeatedly told him in January 2002 and afterward that Bacanovic had spoken to Stewart and that everyone was "on the same page."

But defendants fail to explain how the revelation of this perjury—if in fact it is perjury—could have affected the verdict. Defendants cannot escape the fact that the jury acquitted Bacanovic of Count Five and both defendants of making false statements relating to the existence of the \$60 agreement, and the fact that ample evidence supports the charges of which the jury convicted defendants.

Motion for a new trial *denied*.

Before we apply critical thinking to this case, notice that the law is a place where people actively disagree. They are fighting over responsibilities, rights, and fairness. Business law provides a scenario in which parties can peacefully settle the disputes they will inevitably have. Law is and always has been an alternative to war and physical fights. It is a human invention that should make us proud that we can do better than use physical force to settle disagreements.

Now let's get to work, learning how to use the law optimally in a business environment.

First, review the eight steps of a critical thinking approach to legal reasoning in Exhibit 1-1. Throughout the book, we will call these the *critical thinking questions*. Notice the primary importance of the first four steps; their purpose is to discover the vital elements in the case and the reasoning behind the decision. Failure to consider these four foundational steps might result in our reacting too quickly to what a court or legislature has said. The rule here is: We should never evaluate until we first understand the argument being made.

The answers to these four questions enable us to understand how the court's argument fits together and to make intelligent use of legal decisions. These answers are the necessary first stage of a critical thinking approach to legal analysis. The final four questions are the critical thinking component of legal reasoning. They are questions that permit us to evaluate the reasoning and to form our reaction to what the court decided.

You will develop your own workable strategies for legal reasoning, but we urge you to start by following our structure. Every time you read a case, ask yourself these eight questions. The remainder of this section will demonstrate the use of each of the eight steps. Notice that the order makes sense. The first four follow the path that best allows you to discover the basis of a particular legal decision; the next four assist you in deciding what you think about the worth of that decision.

The Critical Thinking Steps

FACTS

First we look for the most basic building blocks in a legal decision or argument. These building blocks provide the context in which the legal issue is to be resolved. Certain events occurred; certain actions were or were not taken;

particular persons behaved or failed to behave in specific ways. Change certain facts, and the decision will go the other way. We always wonder, what happened in this case? Let's now turn our attention to the *Stewart* case:

1. Martha Stewart sold 3,928 shares of her ImClone stock on December 27, 2001.
2. On December 28, 2001, ImClone announced the FDA's rejection of its new cancer-fighting drug, which caused the company's stock to lose value.
3. Stewart and Bacanovic were convicted of conspiracy, making false statements, and obstruction of an agency proceeding.
4. Expert witness Lawrence Stewart was accused of perjuring himself in the testimony he gave prior to the defendants' conviction.
5. According to a federal rule and case law, perjury of a witness could constitute grounds for a new trial.

ISSUE

In almost any legal conflict, finding and expressing the issue is an important step in forming a reaction. So important is the definition of the issue, that many times the lawyers in a legal suit spend considerable effort trying to get the judge or jury to see the issue a particular way so that they have a better chance of winning the case. The issue is the question that caused the lawyers and their clients to enter the legal system. Usually, there are several reasonable perspectives concerning the correct way to word the issue in dispute.

1. In what instances may a court grant a new trial?
2. Does perjury of a witness mean that defendants should have a new trial?
3. Do the regulations in Rule 33 and relevant case law permit the defendants to have a new trial?

Do not let the possibility of multiple useful ways to word the issue cause you any confusion. The issue is certainly not just anything that we say it is. If we claim something is an issue, our suggestion must fulfill the definition of an issue in this particular factual situation.

REASONS AND CONCLUSION

Judge Cedarbaum held that the defendants should not have a new trial. This finding by Judge Cedarbaum is her conclusion; it serves as her answer to the legal issue. Why did she answer this way? Here we are calling for the **reasons**, explanations or justifications provided as support for a conclusion.

reason An explanation or justification provided as support for a conclusion.

1. Under Rule 33 and relevant case law, perjury is not sufficient to justify a new trial, unless (a) the government knew about the perjury or (b) the perjured testimony was so material that without it the verdict would probably have resulted in acquittal of the defendants.
2. The defendants did not demonstrate that the government knew or should have known about the perjured testimony.
3. The jury would still have convicted the defendants apart from Lawrence's testimony.
4. Defense experts agreed with Lawrence on the "most critical aspects of his scientific analysis."

Let's not pass too quickly over this very important critical thinking step. When we ask *why* of any opinion, we are showing our respect for reasons as the proper basis for any assertion. We want a world rich with opinions so we can have a broad field of choice. We should, however, agree with only those legal opinions that have convincing reasons supporting the conclusion. Thus,

asking *why* is our way of saying, “I want to believe you, but you have an obligation to help me by sharing the reasons for your conclusion.”

RULES OF LAW

Judges cannot offer just any reasoning they please. They must always look back over their shoulders at the laws and previous court decisions that together provide a foundation for current and future decisions. They must follow precedents, the decisions in past cases with similar facts.

This particular case is an attempt to match the words of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, specifically Rule 33, and its regulations with the facts in this instance. The court also references case law, specifically the Second Circuit’s ruling in *United States v. Wallach*. What makes legal reasoning so complex is that statutes and findings are never crystal clear. Judges and businesspeople have room for interpretive flexibility in their reasoning.

AMBIGUITY

The starting point for thinking about this important critical thinking standard is recognizing that a word does not have just one meaning. Thus, when I say a particular word to you, there is no reason I should presume that the meaning I had in mind is transferred into your mind in exactly the same form as it left my mouth. As soon as we realize the flexibility of words, a huge responsibility falls onto our shoulders. We have to seek clarity in what people say to us. Doing so is only fair to them.

The court’s reasoning rests on its implied assumptions about the meaning of several ambiguous words or phrases. (An **ambiguous** word is one capable of having more than one meaning in the context of these facts.) For instance, Judge Cedarbaum stated that Rule 33 permits the court to grant a new trial if the “interest of justice so requires.” But what is the “interest of justice”?

Does the interest of justice entail strict conformity to legal precedents? Or could the court’s reliance on certain precedents result in some form of injustice in the *Stewart* case? If we adopt the former definition, we would be more inclined to conclude that the judge’s denying the defendants’ motion for a new trial was consistent with the “interest of justice.” However, if the legislators who created Rule 33 intended a definition of “justice” that placed a stronger emphasis on judicial fairness, for example, perhaps we would be less supportive of Judge Cedarbaum’s decision.

Another illustration of important ambiguity in the decision is the court’s use of the term *reasonable likelihood*, referring to the probability that Lawrence’s alleged perjury could not have affected the jury’s verdict—but what degree of probability is a “reasonable likelihood”? Does this level of probability suggest that knowledge of Lawrence’s testimony could have affected the jury’s verdict? If we interpret “reasonable likelihood” as still including the possibility that knowledge of Lawrence’s perjury could have affected the jury, we might reach a conclusion that differs from the court’s decision. If we assume a definition of “reasonable likelihood” similar to “beyond a reasonable doubt,” however, we would be more inclined to agree with the judge’s decision. Hence, until we know what “reasonable likelihood” means, we cannot fairly decide whether the judge made the appropriate decision.

ambiguous Susceptible to two or more possible interpretations.

ETHICAL NORMS

The primary ethical norms that influence judges’ decisions are justice, stability, freedom, and efficiency. Notice that each of these words is an abstraction, something we cannot touch, smell, hear, or see. As important as these ethical norms are, they are simply an invitation to a conversation—a conversation focusing on the meaning being used in this particular instance. Judge Cedarbaum expresses

EXHIBIT 1-2

CLARIFYING THE PRIMARY ETHICAL NORMS

A judge's allegiance to a particular ethical norm focuses our attention on a specific category of desired conduct. We have, or think we have, an understanding of what is meant by freedom and other ethical norms.

But do we? Ethical norms are, without exception, complex and subject to multiple interpretations. Consequently, to identify the importance of one of the ethical norms in a piece of legal reasoning, we must look at the context to figure out which form of the ethical norm is being used. The types of conduct called for by the term *freedom* not only differ depending on the form of freedom being assumed, but at times they can contradict each other.

As a future business manager, your task is to be aware that there are alternative forms of each ethical norm. Then a natural next step is to search for the form used by the legal reasoning so you can understand and later evaluate that reasoning.

The following alternative forms of the four primary ethical norms can aid you in that search.

<i>Ethical Norms</i>	<i>Forms</i>
1. Freedom	To act without restriction from rules imposed by others To possess the capacity or resources to act as one wishes
2. Security	To provide the order in business relationships that permits predictable plans to be effective To be safe from those wishing to interfere with your property rights To achieve the psychological condition of self-confidence such that risks are welcomed
3. Justice	To receive the product of your labor To provide resources in proportion to need To treat all humans identically, regardless of class, race, gender, age, and so on To possess anything that someone else was willing to grant you
4. Efficiency	To maximize the amount of wealth in our society To get the most from a particular input To minimize costs

herself as a defender of stability or order. (Here is a good place to turn to Exhibit 1-2 to check alternative definitions of *stability*.) She is unwilling to grant a new trial simply on the fact that one of the witnesses allegedly committed perjury. Instead of granting the defendants' motion, Judge Cedarbaum elevates the "interest of according finality to a jury's verdict," even if the prosecution knew or should have known about the alleged perjury. Citing previous case law, she holds to those precedents that grant new trials only in rare instances.

ANALOGIES

Ordinarily, our examination of legal analogies will require us to compare legal precedents cited by the parties with the facts of the case we are examining. Those precedents are the analogies on which legal decision making depends. In this case, Judge Cedarbaum relies on several legal precedents as analogies for her ruling, including *United States v. Wallach*.

In this particular precedent, the Second Circuit held that even if the prosecution knew of a witness's perjury, the court should not grant a new trial when other "independent" evidence is sufficient to convict a defendant. The worth of this analogy depends on a greater understanding of independent evidence. In other words, what constitutes independent evidence? And is the strength of independent evidence in the *Stewart* case comparable to the independent evidence

in *Wallach*? Or are there significant differences between the two cases such that the court's reliance on *Wallach* is unwarranted in this case?

To feel comfortable with the analogy, we would need to be persuaded that the independent evidence in the *Stewart* case is basically similar to the independent evidence in the precedent, *United States v. Wallach*.

MISSING INFORMATION

When any of us makes a decision, we always do so on the basis of less information than we would love to have. In the search for relevant missing information, it is important not to say just anything that comes to mind. For example, where did the defendants eat Thanksgiving dinner? Anyone hearing that question would understandably wonder why it was asked. Ask only questions that would be helpful in understanding the reasoning in this particular case.

To focus on only relevant missing information, we should include an explanation of why we want it with any request for additional information. We have listed a few examples here for the *Stewart* case. You can probably identify others.

1. How well informed is Judge Cedarbaum with respect to the deliberations of the jury? If her understanding of the jurors' preverdict discussions is very limited, the defendants' request for a new trial might be more convincing, because Judge Cedarbaum repeatedly contends that jurors' knowledge of Lawrence's alleged perjury would not have affected the jurors' decision.
2. Congress, as it does with any legislation, discussed the Rules of Criminal Procedure before passing them. Does that discussion contain any clues as to congressional intent with respect to the various conditions required for a defendant to receive a new trial? The answer would conceivably clarify the manner in which the court should apply Rule 33.
3. Are there examples of cases in which courts have examined fact patterns similar to those in the *Stewart* case but reached different conclusions about a new trial? The answer to this question would provide greater clarity about the appropriateness of using certain case precedents, thereby corroborating or undermining Judge Cedarbaum's decision.

Many other critical thinking skills could be applied to this and other cases. In this book, we focus on the ones especially valuable for legal reasoning. Consistently applying this critical thinking approach will enable you to understand the reasoning in legal cases and increase your awareness of alternative approaches our laws could take to many problems you will encounter in the legal environment of business. The remaining portion of this chapter examines each of the critical thinking questions in greater depth to help you better understand the function of each.

Using Critical Thinking to Make Legal Reasoning Come Alive

Our response to an issue is a *conclusion*. It is what we want others to believe about the issue. For example, a court might conclude that an employee, allegedly fired for her political views, was actually a victim of employment discrimination and is entitled to a damage award. Conclusions are reached by following a path produced by reasoning. Hence, examining reasoning is especially important when we are trying to understand and evaluate a conclusion.

There are many paths by which we may reach conclusions. For instance, I might settle all issues in my life by listening to voices in the night, asking my uncle, studying astrological signs, or just playing hunches. Each method could produce conclusions. Each could yield results.